### By Felix Hull, B.A., Ph.D.

WHEN Henry V set out on the campaign which reached its climax in the battle of Agincourt he wisely realized that the shore of this island was as vulnerable to invading armies as that of France. In accordance with precedent, therefore, and lest the French should attempt a diversionary campaign in the south-eastern counties, Commissioners of Array were appointed in 1415 to raise suitable bands of the militia to face the potential invader.1 Among the Commissioners for Kent was Sir John Darell, who had purchased the Calehill estate only a few years earlier,2 and when the residue of the archives of Darell of Calehill came into the County Archives Office late in 1953, a roll was found among these MSS. which may indicate in some measure, the zeal of Sir John in carrying out military duties during his sovereign's absence.3

Unfortunately the roll in question is incomplete, its beginning and ending are both missing and any exact title or date is irretrievably lost. Of its character as a militia list there is no doubt. In dating it at about 1415, the evidence of handwriting, the appearance in this roll of at least twenty-four persons named in contemporary deeds in the Darell or other collections, and the place of Sir John Darell among the Commission of Array for that year, have all been borne in mind.

The surviving part of the roll is a parchment membrane approximately 29 inches long by  $6\frac{1}{4}$  inches wide, written on both sides and about 22 inches of the text on each side is complete. It is divided into sections comprising seven of the seventeen boroughs of the Calehill Hundred.<sup>4</sup> The list for one of the seven is incomplete and there are fragments surviving for at least two more boroughs. The "borough" an early administrative unit peculiar to Kent, was intimately linked with the hundredal organization of lathe and county and bore little relationship to that more modern organism—the parish. It seems,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Col. J. Bonhote, Historical Record of the West Kent Militia, p. 18, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Col. J. Bonhote, Historical Record of the West Kent Militia, p. 18, and Rymer's Foedera, Orig. Edn., vii, 539.

<sup>2</sup> Hasted, vol. III, p. 224, says that Darell purchased Calebill from Thomas de Brokhull in 12 Henry IV (1410-11). For this actual deed see Kent Archives Office, Darell MSS. (Cat. mark U386 Tl/1).

<sup>3</sup> Darell of Calebill MSS. (K.A.O. Cat. mark U386), the roll is U386 03/1.

<sup>4</sup> According to Lambarde the boroughs were: Nashe, Felde, Hayslathe, Sandpit, Charte, Welles, Charing, Sandbill, Acton, Eastlenham, Stanforde, Pluckley, Edisley, Halingarse, Sednor, Halmeste, St. Johns, Grenehill, but St. Johns seems to have been insignificant and an assessment for an aid a 1490/K A.O. Johns seems to have been insignificant and an assessment for an aid, c. 1490 (K.A.O., U386 05/1), only gives the 17 main boroughs.

however, that the boroughs given fell approximately into the following parishes: Sandpett and Filethe in Charing; Edesle, Grenehelde and Sedenore in Egerton; Halyngherst and Stoneforde in Smarden. This may well represent the whole of Egerton parish and a large part of Charing and Smarden, but it means that the record for Little Chart, Pluckley and Westwell is entirely missing.

Within this borough arrangement the names are set out roughly in two columns, the first listing those responsible for providing fighting men, the second giving the names of the men chosen and some indication of military rank. In all, 140 complete names survive, the first column containing 128 of these. The second column of 53 names adds only twelve new ones, the remaining 41 fighting men being also listed as providers. Unfortunately, beyond the indication of military rank, noted below, there is little evidence of the status of the persons involved, only John Pemel of Edesle, baker, and John Swyft of Halyngherst, tanner, being given their occupations. On the other hand no fewer than nine women appear in the list of those responsible for finding militia-men.

Between the Statute of Winchester, 1285,¹ and the Act of 1662,² numerous statutes related to the militia, and modified the existing system of liability to provide arms and of responsibility on the part of the high constables of the hundred to view arms twice a year. Beyond the statutory obligation laid upon all men, not clerics, from the age of 15 to that of 60, to provide arms and to serve when called either within their own locality or, in the event of invasion, within the country, no detailed provisions were laid down for the method of apportioning liability for service. Until the sixteenth century when the modern lieutenancy system came into being, this duty lay with the Commismissioners of Array working through the high constables, and we have little knowledge of the practical arrangements made.

Naturally only a proportion of persons liable for service were called up at any one time and this roll indicates that each borough was assessed for so many archers and so many fully armed men up to between one-third and one-half of the liable population. Once the assessment was made it would appear that a further division of responsibility was accorded within the terms of the Statute of Winchester which provided for the maintenance of weapons and armour on a strict property basis. All those owning less than five pounds but more than two pounds worth of real estate were to provide swords and bows and arrows and the poorest of all classes were required to maintain bows and arrows or bolts according as they lived without or within the Royal Forest. The more wealthy found other weapons and armour

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 13 Edward I, st. 2, c. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 13 & 14 Charles II, c. 3.

according to their estate. It would seem, therefore, that the high constable for Calehill arranged his people on this basis, so that the great majority were liable to find archers and only a few more heavily accounted soldiers. It is on this property basis too, that the incorporation of women into the list can be understood. Actual service was not theirs to give, but as property owners they were responsible for finding arms and, if need be, men. A more subtle arrangement seems to have been at work also, for the persons providing soldiers are grouped together in twos, threes, or fours, each group being responsible for one man. Without considerably more evidence than is available it would be dangerous to argue much from this arrangement, but it may be suggested that the number of persons thus grouped gives some indication of their social position. This would be both reasonable and equitable and would account for the distinction of Thomas Whitsparrok of Halyngherst who provides Ralph Hitchys, archer, himself, as compared with John Homershame jun., Thomas Gateman, Robert Hersynden, William Halvngherst, Richard Tornour and John Aylard of the same borough who between them only provide one archer. The same suggestion also helps to explain why the groups usually chose one of their number to act on their behalf, while individuals more often procured the services of substitutes.

A measure of uncertainty also lies in the rank of the soldiers as given. The 44 archers present no difficulty, but each borough was responsible for providing one "pavacarius," who appears to have been a man bearing a large shield or a fully armed soldier. In five cases groups of persons provide these men and choose one of their own number for the duty, but in Grenehelde there is no indication of any such group, the entry merely reading: Tota Borgh'j pavacarium Et Johannes Pemel pavacarius. This may indicate that John Pemel alone in that borough was of sufficient standing to provide or serve in that capacity. So, too, at Stonforde John Glovere and William Berman provide John Wysenden as pavacarius and these two are termed inventores, i.e., "finders" or "providers." This term is not used elsewhere and may be used here solely because they do not choose either of themselves to serve.

In the borough of Sandpette in Charing a further complication appears in the person of Henry Colbach, "armatus." The distinction implied by this rank is unknown, nor do we know how the "armatus" differed from the "pavacarius." It is the only case of its kind, Colbach serves in his own right, and all that seems safe to say is that he must have been a man of considerably greater means than the archers listed for the borough. This is borne out by the slight evidence of his connec-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although this argument may apply within any one borough it might be dangerous to try to compare the boroughs without far more knowledge of the basis of assessment.

tions in the parish. In the year 1414 a Will was registered in the Consistory Court of Canterbury of Henry Colbache, vicar of Charing, in the course of which "Magister" Henry Colbach is appointed executor.1 We do not know the relationship of these men, nor whether the executor of 1414 could be the "armatus" of the following year, but at least it can be suggested that our Henry belonged to a rather more notable Charing family with clerical connections.

The transcript which follows remains as close to the original as possible with all normal Latin abbreviations extended and the Latin forms of names retained. It is offered for its value as an early nominal roll, its interest as a medieval militia list and its special character as a list of some of those men of the hundred of Calehill who might aptly be termed the "Home Guard" of 1415.

[First 7 inches of roll badly damaged.] .... Archerarius .... m'....[?]omie — Archerarius Thomas Stompard — Archerarius [about 2 ins. of roll blank] ....at Watere sen. — Archerarius ..[qui]bus Henricus atte Watere — Archerarius ....us.... Henricus ate.... [about 1 in. of roll blank]

# Borah' de Filethe

```
....d — Archerarius
. . . . — Archerarius
.... pro quo Ricardus filius suus — Archerarius
                                 ) pro quibus Rogerus Payn —
Wi[llelmus] ....u'
Rogerus Payn [struck through]
                                                       Archerarius
Willelmus Lowe — Archerarius [added]
Henricus Dodynton
                      pro quibus Henricus Dodynton -
Thomas Stonhouse, sen.
                                                       Archerarius
Robertus Robyn
Willelmus Walynghame | pro quibus Willelmus Walynghame-
                                                       Archerarius
Walterus Conseyl
Robertus at Wode
Willelmus Chelynton
                       pro quibus Willelmus Chelynton —
Thomas Best
                                                      Archerarius
Willelmus Beste
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> K.A.O., P.R.C. 32/1.f30.

# Tota Borgh' j pavacarium

Thomas Davy Willelmus Runham Johannes [?Run]ham Johannes Stokelot

j pavacarium pro quibus Thomas Davy pavacarius

# Borgh' de Grenehelde

Thomas Munde, sen.—archerarius Robertus Warener [all added]

Henricus Smyth
Johannes Bromle
Willelmus Bacheler
Thomas Chilton
Johannes Fokes
Thomas Oulegate
Laurentius Newlonde
Thomas Bacheler
Thomas Godard
Thomas Monde, jun.
Ricardus Godard

pro quibus Johannes Bromle—Archerarius

pro quibus Willelmus Bacheler—Archerarius

pro quibus Laurentius Newlonde—
Archerarius

pro quibus Willelmus Munde—Archerarius

Tota Borgh' j pavacarium Et Johannes Pemell pavacarius

# Borgh' de Sedenore

Thomas Petynden Willelmus Coltfole Johanna at Watere Willelmus Chilton Anic' [?Anicia or Avicia] Sedenore Anic' Sedenore [struck through] Johanna Sedenore Robertus Warener Henricus Marbeler Ricardus at Welle Johanna at Welle Ricardus Pemell Willelmus Sedenore Thomas Pemell Ricardus Donstalle Robertus Burgevs

 $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text{pro quibus Willelmus Coltfole} \\ \text{Archerarius} \\ \text{Sedenore} \end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{c} \text{pro quibus Willelmus Chilton} \\ \text{Archerarius} \\ \\ rough \end{array}$ 

pro quibus Henry Marbeler [written above

Robertus Warener struck through]—Archerarius
pro quibus Ricardus Pemell—Archerarius

pro quibus Robertus Burgoys—Archerarius

Tota Borgh' j pavacarium

Willelmus Wardon j pavacarium pro quibus Johannes Pemel Robertus Sedenore Johannes Newe -pavacarius Johannes Pemel at Crowche Borah' de Stonforde Willelmus Bocher pro quibus Nicholaus Bocher— Nicholaus Bocher Archerarius Johannes Bocher pro quibus Thomas Bocher-Archerarius Thomas Bocher Willelmus Ricard, sen. pro quibus Willelmus Wytherynden-Johannes Berman Archerarius Willelmus Wytherynden Johannes Hilgarden, sen. pro quibus Johannes Hilgarden, jun.-Thomas Glover Archerarius Johannes Hilgarden, jun. Laurentius at Melle pro quibus Willelmus Ricard, jun.— Archerarius Johannes Denvs Willelmus Ricard, jun. Tota Borgh' j pavacarium Inventores. Et Johannes Wysenden-Johannes Glovere pavacarius Willelmus Berman Borgh' de Sandvette [On dorse of roll] Henricus Colbach—Armatus Ricardus Pyece—Archerarius [all added] Ricardus Tubbe—[Archerarius added] ) pro quibus Robertus Triton [struck through]—Archerarius Henricus at Hooks pro quo Robertus Triton [added] Johannes Cole pro quibus Johannes Triton—Archerarius Willelmus Haukere Johannes Triton Henricus Walvnghame pro quibus Ricardus Beste—Archerarius Johannes Chapman Ricardus Beste Tota Borgh' j pavacarium Johannes Swon Johannes Wealdish pro quibus Johannes Swon—pavacarius Thomas Calhill

Ricardus Curtevs

### Borgh' de Edesle

Thomas Borlynge pro quibus Johannes Smythiot-Johannes Smythiot Archerarius Johanna Wyldemed Johannes Baldok pro quibus Willelmus Burghassh'— Willelmus Burghasshe Archerarius Agnes Welshe John Pemel, baker pro quibus Johannes Pemel, baker— Ricardus Oulegate Archerarius Thomas at Frithe Johannes at Frithe Thomas at Frithe jun. pro quibus Johannes Wanden—Archerarius Johannes Wanden Robertus Bourne Willelmus Baldok pro quibus Willelmus Baldok—Archerarius Margareta Elmherst Johannes Philipot Johannes Barlynge pro quibus Thomas Jekyn—Archerarius Johannes Beste Thomas Jekyn

# Tota Borgh' j pavacarium

Johannes Beste at Helle Willelmus Hoke Anges Swyft Willelmus Stonhouse

pro quibus Ricardus Stonhouse—
pavacarius

# Borgh' de Halynghest

Thomas Whitsparrok—Archerarius pro quo Radulphus Hikkys
Henricus Burden—pro quo Petrus Garynton—Archerarius
Henricus Thornherst
Johannes at Chirche
Robertus Lombehist
Radulphus Wytheryngbrook pro quibus Thomas Robyn—Archerarius
Thomas Robyn

Johannes Homershame, jun. Thomas Gateman Robertus Hessynden Willelmus Halyngherst Ricardus Tornour Johannes Aylard

pro quibus Johannes Aylard— Archerarius

Johannes Swyft, tannere Johannes Newenden pro quibus Johannes Beste-Archerarius Willelmus Bromle Johannes Beste Willelmus Robyn Johannes Knok pro quibus Willelmus Knok-Archerarius Willelmus Knok Willelmus Philipot Thomas Brewere Jacobus Tubbell pro quibus Willelmus Helstrete-Willelmus Marlere Archerarius Ricardus Holmherst Willelmus Helstrete [Last 7 ins. of roll badly damaged.] Katerina at Melle [pro] quibus Johannes Frend—Archerarius  $[Tota\ Borgh'\ j\ pavacarium]$ j pavacarium pro quibus Willelmus at Wode-...,nay.... Robertus (?) Wory pavacarius Willelmus at Wode Swan archerarius Simon Swan.... Robertus.... Johannes S.... [Borough heading missing] ...Webbe—Archerarius -Archerarius -Archerarius ..selwode—Archerarius [1 inch blank] ....Swift—Archerarius [1 inch blank] .... Henricus Salmon—Archerarius ..s ....eman—Archerarius